

Communication from Public

Name: sergio jimenez

Date Submitted: 02/22/2022 12:53 PM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: It is a shame that tax payer dollars are being used to exclude and punish street vendors with extra fines and citations for just trying to make a living. Vendors need support from all agencies involved in the vending program, not more ways to exclude them from city side walks. It's a shame that this committee will be hearing a report on how to scheme ways to further destabilize and criminalize vendors who currently have little support by the city agencies. We want more creative ways to assist vendors in their path towards legalization as businesses, not harsher penalties for trying to make ends meet by selling and providing their communities with accessible food. shame on you!

Communication from Public

Name: Osvaldo Garcia

Date Submitted: 02/22/2022 06:10 PM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: I am opposed to Item 3. This is a direct attack on street vendors and it will only result in the criminalization of women, seniors, and undocumented people. There are other ways to address ADA issues and you should listen to mobility advocates instead of enacting methods that only focus on policing. Vote no on Item 3!

Communication from Public

Name: Claudia Calderon

Date Submitted: 02/22/2022 02:52 PM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: I'm opposed to ITEM 3. This is a direct attack on street vendors and it will only result in the criminalization of women, seniors, and undocumented people. There are other ways to address ADA issues and you should listen to mobility advocates instead of enacting methods that only focus on policing. VOTE NO ON ITEM 3.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 02/22/2022 06:07 PM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: I am opposed to item 3. This is a direct attack on street vendors and will negatively effect marginalized groups. We do not need more policing in this matter. Vote NO on item 3.

Communication from Public

Name: Jennifer H.

Date Submitted: 02/22/2022 08:45 PM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: Hi, my name is Jennifer and I live in LA. I am opposed to Item #3. I support creating an environment of mutual care rather than policing. By caring for each other, we can help each other thrive. It is possible to walk away from the degenerative cycle of punishment and despair, which causes everybody harm in the long run. Please vote "no" on Item #3.

Communication from Public

Name: Valerie Albicker

Date Submitted: 02/22/2022 08:45 PM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: I oppose item #3. As a special education teacher and advocate for ADA, widening, repairs, and maintaining sidewalks would be a true means of enforcing ADA. "Sidewalk safety" would target street vendors by criminalizing their presence instead of the city widening and repairing sidewalks.

Communication from Public

Name: Jasmine

Date Submitted: 02/22/2022 09:14 PM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: I am opposed to item 3. This is a direct disadvantage to street vendors and it will ultimately result in the criminalization of women, seniors, undocumented people, and people of color. There are other ways to address ADA issues and there are wise and passionate mobility advocates who could be consulted instead of enacting methods that focus solely on policing. Vote no on item 3.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 02/22/2022 09:20 PM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: I am opposed to ITEM 3. This is a direct attack on street vendors and it will only result in the criminalization of women, seniors and undocumented people. There are other ways to address ADA issues and you should listen to mobility advocates instead of enacting methods that only focus on policing. Vote NO on ITEM 3.

Communication from Public

Name: Sebastian Cano

Date Submitted: 02/22/2022 09:20 PM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: I am opposed to item 3. While this disguises itself as a safety issue, it is known that the proposition is clearly aimed at attacking Street Vendors. Currently Street vendors cannot be charged and this would give the police the right to charge Street vendors which would hurt immigrants and hardworking people trying to make ends meet in a pandemic. The city would benefit more by opening a dialogue to make Street vending legal and safe. What the community wants is legal safe Street vending without ridiculous demands from the city and state, with clear outlines of what is legal and what isn't. Its time to stop working against the people and start working with the people.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 02/22/2022 09:20 PM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: I am opposed to ITEM 3. This is a direct attack on street vendors and it will only result in the criminalization of women, seniors, and undocumented people. There are other ways to address ADA issues and you should listen to mobility advocates instead of enacting methods that only focus on policing. VOTE NO ON ITEM 3.

Communication from Public

Name: Rosemary Quiroz

Date Submitted: 02/22/2022 09:32 PM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: I am opposed to ITEM 3. This is a direct attack on street vendors and it will only result in the criminalization of women, seniors, and undocumented people. There are other ways to address ADA issues and you should listen to mobility advocates instead of enacting methods that only focus on policing. VOTE NO ON ITEM 3!

Communication from Public

Name: Jackeline Juarez

Date Submitted: 02/22/2022 09:45 PM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: I am opposed to ITEM 3. This is a direct attack on street vendors and unjustly criminalizes women, seniors and undocumented people. Addressing, repairing & widening the numerous narrow ADA violating sidewalks throughout the city is a start. Please advise with ADA mobility experts first before enacting & focusing on new wasteful tax payer policing tactics. No on item 3.

Communication from Public

Name: Nicholas Johnson

Date Submitted: 02/22/2022 09:52 PM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: I am opposed to ITEM 3. This is a direct attack on street vendors and will only result in the criminalization of women, seniors, and the undocumented Indigenous people from whom this land was stolen from. There are other ways to address ADA issues and you should listen to mobility advocates instead of enacting methods that only focus on profiting from cruminilizing them under the guise of the law with your ancient intent to wipe out the people who you feel no longer serve your dark agendas that gave veen hidden for far too long. VOTE NO ON ITEM 3."

Communication from Public

Name: Avner Rachimi

Date Submitted: 02/22/2022 08:23 PM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: I am OPPOSED to ITEM 3. This is a direct attack on street vendors and it will only result in the criminalization of women, seniors, and undocumented people. There are other ways to address ADA issues and you should listen to mobility advocates instead of enacting methods that only focus on policing. VOTE NO ON ITEM 3.